# Deriving an Expression for P(X(t) = x)Under the Pareto/NBD Model

Peter S. Fader www.petefader.com

Bruce G.S. Hardie www.brucehardie.com<sup>†</sup>

> September 2006 Revised July 2020

#### 1 Introduction

The Pareto/NBD (Schmittlein et al. 1987, hereafter SMC) is a model for customer-base analysis in a noncontractual setting. One result presented in SMC is an expression for P(X(t) = x), where the random variable X(t) denotes the number of transactions observed in the time interval (0, t]. This note derives an alternative expression for this quantity, one that is simpler to evaluate.

In Section 2 we review the assumptions underlying the Pareto/NBD model. In Section 3, we derive an expression for P(X(t) = x) conditional on the unobserved latent characteristics  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . We remove this conditioning in Section 4. For the sake of completeness, SMC's derivation is replicated in the Appendix.

### 2 Model Assumptions

The Pareto/NBD model is based on the following assumptions:

- i. Customers go through two stages in their "lifetime" with a specific firm: they are "alive" for some period of time, then become permanently inactive (i.e., "die").
- ii. While alive, the number of transactions made by a customer follows a Poisson process with transaction rate  $\lambda$ . Denoting the number of transactions in the time interval (0, t] by the random variable X(t), it follows that

$$P(X(t) = x \mid \lambda, \text{ alive at } t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^x e^{-\lambda t}}{x!}, \quad x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

iii. A customer's unobserved lifetime of length  $\omega$  (after which he is viewed as being dead) is exponentially distributed with dropout rate  $\mu$ :

$$f(\omega \mid \mu) = \mu e^{-\mu\omega}$$

iv. Heterogeneity in transaction rates across customers follows a gamma distribution with shape parameter r and scale parameter  $\alpha$ :

$$g(\lambda \,|\, r, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha^r \lambda^{r-1} e^{-\lambda \alpha}}{\Gamma(r)} \,. \tag{1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>© 2006, 2020 Peter S. Fader and Bruce G.S. Hardie. Document location: http://brucehardie.com/notes/012/.

v. Heterogeneity in dropout rates across customers follows a gamma distribution with shape parameter s and scale parameter  $\beta$ .

$$g(\mu \mid s, \beta) = \frac{\beta^s \mu^{s-1} e^{-\mu\beta}}{\Gamma(s)}.$$
(2)

vi. The transaction rate  $\lambda$  and the dropout rate  $\mu$  vary independently across customers.

## 3 P(X(t) = x) Conditional on $\lambda$ and $\mu$

Suppose we know an individual's latent characteristics  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Assuming the customer was alive at time 0, there are two ways x purchases could have occurred in the interval (0, t]:

i. The individual remained alive through the whole interval; this occurs with probability  $e^{-\mu t}$ . The probability of the individual making x purchases, given he was alive during the whole interval, is  $(\lambda t)^x e^{-\lambda t}/x!$ . Therefore, the probability of remaining alive through the interval (0, t] and making x purchases is

$$\frac{(\lambda t)^x e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t}}{x!} \, .$$

ii. The individual died at some point  $\omega$  (< t) and made x purchases in the interval  $(0, \omega]$ . The probability of this occurring is

$$\int_0^t \frac{(\lambda\omega)^x e^{-\lambda\omega}}{x!} \mu e^{-\mu\omega} \, d\omega = \lambda^x \mu \int_0^t \frac{\omega^x e^{-(\lambda+\mu)\omega}}{x!} \, d\omega$$
$$= \frac{\lambda^x \mu}{(\lambda+\mu)^{x+1}} \int_0^t \frac{(\lambda+\mu)^{x+1} \omega^x e^{-(\lambda+\mu)\omega}}{x!} \, d\omega$$

which, noting that the integrand is an Erlang-(x + 1) pdf,

$$= \frac{\lambda^x \mu}{(\lambda+\mu)^{x+1}} \left[ 1 - e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} \sum_{i=0}^x \frac{\left[ (\lambda+\mu)t \right]^i}{i!} \right].$$

Combining these two scenarios gives us the following expression for the probability of observing x purchases in the interval (0, t], conditional on  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ :

$$P(X(t) = x \mid \lambda, \mu) = \frac{(\lambda t)^{x} e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t}}{x!} + \frac{\lambda^{x} \mu}{(\lambda+\mu)^{x+1}} \left[ 1 - e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t} \sum_{i=0}^{x} \frac{\left[ (\lambda+\mu)t \right]^{i}}{i!} \right].$$
(3)

### 4 Removing the Conditioning on $\lambda$ and $\mu$

In reality, we never know an individual's latent characteristics; we therefore remove the conditioning on  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  by taking the expectation of (3) over the distributions of  $\Lambda$  and M:

$$P(X(t) = x \mid r, \alpha, s, \beta) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty P(X(t) = x \mid \lambda, \mu) g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) g(\mu \mid s, \beta) \, d\lambda \, d\mu \,. \tag{4}$$

Substituting (1)–(3) in (4) give us

$$P(X(t) = x | r, \alpha, s, \beta) = \mathsf{A}_1 + \mathsf{A}_2 - \sum_{i=0}^{x} \frac{t^i}{i!} \mathsf{A}_{3i}$$
(5)

where

$$\mathsf{A}_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^{x} e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t}}{x!} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) g(\mu \mid s, \beta) \, d\lambda \, d\mu \tag{6}$$

$$\mathsf{A}_{2} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{x} \mu}{(\lambda + \mu)^{x+1}} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) g(\mu \mid s, \beta) \, d\lambda \, d\mu \tag{7}$$

$$\mathsf{A}_{3i} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^x \mu e^{-(\lambda+\mu)t}}{(\lambda+\mu)^{x-i+1}} g(\lambda \mid r, \alpha) g(\mu \mid s, \beta) \, d\lambda \, d\mu \tag{8}$$

i. Solving (6) is trivial:

$$\mathsf{A}_{1} = \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\right)^{r} \left(\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\right)^{x} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+t}\right)^{s} \tag{9}$$

ii. To solve (7), consider the transformation  $Y = M/(\Lambda + M)$  and  $Z = \Lambda + M$ . Using the transformation technique (Casella and Berger 2002, Section 4.3, pp. 156–162; Mood et al. 1974, Section 6.2, p. 204ff), it follows that the joint distribution of Y and Z is

$$g(y, z \mid \alpha, \beta, r, s) = \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{\Gamma(r) \Gamma(s)} y^{s-1} (1-y)^{r-1} z^{r+s-1} e^{-z(\alpha - (\alpha - \beta)y)}.$$
 (10)

Noting that the inverse of this transformation is  $\lambda = (1 - y)z$  and  $\mu = yz$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{2} &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} y(1-y)^{x} g(y,z \mid \alpha,\beta,r,s) \, dz \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{r} \beta^{s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{s} (1-y)^{r+x-1} z^{r+s-1} e^{-z(\alpha-(\alpha-\beta)y)} \, dz \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{r} \beta^{s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{1} y^{s} (1-y)^{r+x-1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{r+s-1} e^{-z(\alpha-(\alpha-\beta)y)} \, dz \right\} \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{r} \beta^{s}}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \Gamma(r+s) \int_{0}^{1} y^{s} (1-y)^{r+x-1} (\alpha-(\alpha-\beta)y)^{-(r+s)} \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{r} \beta^{s}}{B(r,s)} \frac{1}{\alpha^{r+s}} \int_{0}^{1} y^{s} (1-y)^{r+x-1} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \right) y \right]^{-(r+s)} \, dy \end{aligned}$$

which, recalling Euler's integral for the Gaussian hypergeometric function,<sup>1</sup>

$$= \frac{\alpha^{r}\beta^{s}}{\alpha^{r+s}} \frac{B(r+x,s+1)}{B(r,s)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+s,s+1;r+s+x+1;\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\right).$$
(11)

Looking closely at (11), we see that the argument of the Gaussian hypergeometric function,  $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}$ , is guaranteed to be bounded between 0 and 1 when  $\alpha \geq \beta$  (since  $\beta > 0$ ), thus ensuring convergence of the series representation of the function. However, when  $\alpha < \beta$  we can be faced with the situation where  $\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} < -1$ , in which case the series is divergent.

Applying the linear transformation (Abramowitz and Stegun 1972, equation 15.3.4)

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_{2}F_{1}(a,c-b;c;\frac{z}{z-1}), \qquad (12)$$

gives us

$$\mathsf{A}_{2} = \frac{\alpha^{r} \beta^{s}}{\beta^{r+s}} \frac{B(r+x,s+1)}{B(r,s)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+s,r+x;r+s+x+1;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta}\right).$$
(13)

We see that the argument of the above Gaussian hypergeometric function is bounded between 0 and 1 when  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . We therefore present (11) and (13) as solutions to (7): we use (11) when  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and (13) when  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

$${}^{1}{}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} dt \,, \ c > b \,.$$

iii. To solve (8), we also make use of the transformation  $Y = M/(\Lambda + M)$  and  $Z = \Lambda + M$ . Given (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}_{3i} &= \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty y^s (1-y)^{r+x-1} z^{r+s+i-1} e^{-z(\alpha+t-(\alpha-\beta)y)} \, dz \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 y^s (1-y)^{r+x-1} \left\{ \int_0^\infty z^{r+s+i-1} e^{-z(\alpha+t-(\alpha-\beta)y)} \, dz \right\} \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \Gamma(r+s+i) \int_0^1 y^s (1-y)^{r+x-1} (\alpha+t-(\alpha-\beta)y)^{-(r+s+i)} \, dy \\ &= \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{B(r,s)} \frac{\Gamma(r+s+i)}{\Gamma(r+s)} \frac{1}{(\alpha+t)^{r+s+i}} \int_0^1 y^s (1-y)^{r+x-1} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+t} \right) y \right]^{-(r+s+i)} \, dy \end{aligned}$$

which, recalling Euler's integral for the Gaussian hypergeometric function,

$$= \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{(\alpha+t)^{r+s+i}} \frac{B(r+x,s+1)}{B(r,s)} \frac{\Gamma(r+s+i)}{\Gamma(r+s)} \times {}_2F_1\left(r+s+i,s+1;r+s+x+1;\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+t}\right).$$
(14)

Noting that the argument of the Gaussian hypergeometric function is only guaranteed to be bounded between 0 and 1 when  $\alpha \ge \beta$ , we apply the linear transformation (12), which gives us

$$\mathsf{A}_{3i} = \frac{\alpha^r \beta^s}{(\beta+t)^{r+s+i}} \frac{B(r+x,s+1)}{B(r,s)} \frac{\Gamma(r+s+i)}{\Gamma(r+s)} \times {}_2F_1\left(r+s+i,r+x;r+s+x+1;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta+t}\right).$$
(15)

The argument of the above Gaussian hypergeometric function is bounded between 0 and 1 when  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . We therefore present (14) and (15) as solutions to (8), using (14) when  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and (15) when  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

Substituting (9), (11), (13), (14), and (15) in (5) yields the following expression for the distribution of the number of transactions in the interval (0, t] for a randomly-chosen individual under the Pareto/NBD model:

$$P(X(t) = x | r, \alpha, s, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\right)^r \left(\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\right)^x \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+t}\right)^s + \alpha^r \beta^s \frac{B(r+x,s+1)}{B(r,s)} \left\{\mathsf{B}_1 - \sum_{i=0}^x \frac{\Gamma(r+s+i)}{\Gamma(r+s)} \frac{t^i}{i!} \mathsf{B}_{2i}\right\}$$
(16)

where

$$\mathsf{B}_{1} = \begin{cases} \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+s,s+1;r+s+x+1;\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{r+s}} & \text{if } \alpha \geq \beta \\ \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+s,r+x;r+s+x+1;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{r+s}} & \text{if } \alpha \leq \beta \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathsf{B}_{2i} = \begin{cases} \frac{{}_2F_1\big(r+s+i,s+1;r+s+x+1;\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+t}\big)}{(\alpha+t)^{r+s+i}} & \text{if } \alpha \ge \beta\\ \\ \frac{{}_2F_1\big(r+s+i,r+x;r+s+x+1;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta+t}\big)}{(\beta+t)^{r+s+i}} & \text{if } \alpha \le \beta \,. \end{cases}$$

We note that this expression requires x+2 evaluations of the Gaussian hypergeometric function. In contrast, SMC's expression (see the attached appendix) requires 2(x + 1) evaluations of the Gaussian hypergeometric function. The equivalence of (16) and (A1), (A3), (A4) is not immediately obvious. Purely from a logical perspective, they must be equivalent. Furthermore, equivalence is observed in numerical investigations. However, we have yet to demonstrate direct equivalence of these two expressions for  $P(X(t) = x | r, \alpha, s, \beta)$ . Stay tuned.

### References

Abramowitz, Milton and Irene A. Stegun (eds.) (1972), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, New York: Dover Publications.

Casella, George, and Roger L. Berger (2002), *Statistical Inference*, 2nd edition, Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury.

Mood, Alexander M., Franklin A. Graybill, and Duane C. Boes (1974), *Introduction to the Theory* of Statistics, 3rd edition, New York: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company.

Schmittlein, David C., Donald G. Morrison, and Richard Colombo (1987), "Counting Your Customers: Who Are They and What Will They Do Next?" *Management Science*, **33** (January), 1–24.

### Appendix: SMC's Derivation of $P(X(t) = x | r, \alpha, s, \beta)$

SMC derive their expression for P(X(t) = x) by first integrating over  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  and then removing the conditioning on  $\omega$ , which is the reverse of the approach used in Sections 3 and 4 above. This gives us

$$P(X(t) = x | r, \alpha, s, \beta) = \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\right)^r \left(\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\right)^x}_{\text{NBD } P(X(t)=x)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+t}\right)^s}_{P(\Omega > t)} + \int_0^t \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\omega}\right)^r \left(\frac{\omega}{\alpha+\omega}\right)^x}_{\text{NBD } P(X(\omega)=x)} \underbrace{\frac{\beta}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+\omega}\right)^{s+1}}_{f(\omega)} d\omega}_{f(\omega)} d\omega$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+t}\right)^r \left(\frac{t}{\alpha+t}\right)^x \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+t}\right)^s + \frac{\Gamma(r+x)}{\Gamma(r)x!} s\alpha^r \beta^s \mathsf{C}$$
(A1)

where

$$\mathsf{C} = \int_0^t \omega^x (\alpha + \omega)^{-(r+x)} (\beta + \omega)^{-(s+1)} d\omega \,. \tag{A2}$$

Making the change of variable  $y = \alpha + \omega$ ,

$$\mathsf{C} = \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+t} (y-\alpha)^x y^{-(r+x)} (y-\alpha+\beta)^{-(s+1)} dy$$

which, recalling the binomial theorem,<sup>2</sup>

$$= \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+t} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} y^{x-j} (-\alpha)^{j} \right\} y^{-(r+x)} (y - \alpha + \beta)^{-(s+1)} dy$$
  
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} (-\alpha)^{j} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+t} y^{-(r+j)} (y - \alpha + \beta)^{-(s+1)} dy$$
  
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} (-\alpha)^{j} \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} y^{-(r+j)} (y - \alpha + \beta)^{-(s+1)} dy - \int_{\alpha+t}^{\infty} y^{-(r+j)} (y - \alpha + \beta)^{-(s+1)} dy \right\}$$

letting  $z = \alpha/y$  in the first integral (which implies  $dy = -dz\alpha z^{-2}$ ) and  $z = (\alpha + t)/y$  in the second integral (which implies  $dy = -dz(\alpha + t)z^{-2}$ ),

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} (-\alpha)^{j} \left\{ \alpha^{-(r+s+j)} \int_{0}^{1} z^{r+s+j-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} z\right)^{-(s+1)} dz - (\alpha+t)^{-(r+s+j)} \int_{0}^{1} z^{r+s+j-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+t} z\right)^{-(s+1)} dz \right\}$$

which, recalling Euler's integral for the Gaussian hypergeometric function,

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{x} {\binom{x}{j}} \frac{(-\alpha)^{j}}{r+s+j} \left\{ \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(s+1,r+s+j;r+s+j+1;\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{r+s+j}} - \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(s+1,r+s+j;r+s+j+1;\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+t}\right)}{(\alpha+t)^{r+s+j}} \right\}.$$
(A3)

 $(x+y)^r = \sum_{j=0}^x \binom{r}{k} x^k y^{r-k} \text{ for integer } r \ge 0 .$ 

We note that the arguments of the above Gaussian hypergeometric functions are only guaranteed to be bounded between 0 and 1 when  $\alpha \ge \beta$ . We therefore revisit (A2), applying the change of variable  $y = \beta + \omega$ :

$$\mathsf{C} = \int_{\beta}^{\beta+t} (y-\beta)^{x} y^{-(s+1)} (y-\beta+\alpha)^{-(r+x)} dy$$

which, recalling the binomial theorem,

$$= \int_{\beta}^{\beta+t} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} y^{x-j} (-\beta)^{j} \right\} y^{-(s+1)} (y-\beta+\alpha)^{-(r+x)} dy$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} (-\beta)^{j} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+t} y^{-(s+j+1-x)} (y-\beta+\alpha)^{-(r+x)} dy$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{x} \binom{x}{j} (-\beta)^{j} \left\{ \int_{\beta}^{\infty} y^{-(s+j+1-x)} (y-\beta+\alpha)^{-(r+x)} dy - \int_{\beta+t}^{\infty} y^{-(s+j+1-x)} (y-\beta+\alpha)^{-(r+x)} dy \right\}$$

letting  $z = \beta/y$  in the first integral (which implies  $dy = -dz\beta z^{-2}$ ) and  $z = (\beta + t)/y$  in the second integral (which implies  $dy = -dz(\beta + t)z^{-2}$ ),

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{x} {\binom{x}{j}} (-\beta)^{j} \left\{ \beta^{-(r+s+j)} \int_{0}^{1} z^{r+s+j-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta} z\right)^{-(r+x)} dz - (\beta + t)^{-(r+s+j)} \int_{0}^{1} z^{r+s+j-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta + t} z\right)^{-(r+x)} dz \right\}$$

which, recalling Euler's integral for the Gaussian hypergeometric function,

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{x} {\binom{x}{j}} \frac{(-\beta)^{j}}{r+s+j} \left\{ \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+x,r+s+j;r+s+j+1;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta}\right)}{\beta^{r+s+j}} - \frac{{}_{2}F_{1}\left(r+x,r+s+j;r+s+j+1;\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta+t}\right)}{(\beta+t)^{r+s+j}} \right\}.$$
 (A4)

We note that (A3) and (A4) each require 2(x + 1) evaluations of the Gaussian hypergeometric function.